

Strength and Cardio

Why Different Adaptations Should Work Together—not Compete

“Strength builds force. Cardio builds the capacity to sustain work. Neither can impersonate the other.”

Executive Thesis

The fitness industry often frames strength and cardio as rival camps. One side treats every mile as a threat to muscle. The other treats lifting as optional because the heart rate did not remain high enough. Both positions confuse a training method with the adaptation it is best designed to produce.

Strength training raises the capacity to produce and control force. Aerobic training raises the capacity to transport and use oxygen, sustain repeated work, and recover between efforts. Those adaptations overlap, but they are not interchangeable. This is why the World Health Organization recommends both aerobic activity and muscle-strengthening work rather than asking one mode to do every job.[1]

The Academy position is therefore not strength instead of conditioning. It is strength first as the foundation of force, with conditioning prescribed for the demands strength alone does not fully solve. A complete program assigns each tool a job and manages the fatigue created when both are trained together.

Different Demands Produce Different Adaptations

A heavy squat and a sustained run can both feel difficult, but difficulty does not make them the same stimulus. Progressive resistance training improves maximal strength, power, muscle size, muscular endurance, and multiple measures of physical function.[8] Aerobic training places a larger and more continuous demand on oxygen delivery and utilization. Cardiorespiratory fitness is important enough that the American Heart Association has argued for treating it as a clinical vital sign.[2]

Specificity still governs the result. Lifting can raise work capacity, especially in someone who is untrained, and endurance exercise can strengthen tissues relative to their starting point. But a person does not build maximal force merely by extending the duration of a low-force task, and a strong deadlift does not guarantee the aerobic capacity required for a long race, shift, hike, or repeated field effort.

The Original Interference Effect

The fear that cardio destroys strength is usually traced to Robert Hickson's 1980 experiment. The strength group trained five days per week, the endurance group trained six, and the combined group performed both regimens. The combined group improved strength at first, then leveled off and declined late in the ten-week study, while its gains in maximal oxygen uptake were preserved.[3]

That study demonstrated that interference can occur. It did not establish that any amount of aerobic work cancels strength adaptation. The combined condition imposed an unusually dense schedule, and the result was specific: endurance development survived while late strength development was reduced. The correct lesson is that adaptation has a recovery cost—not that the human body can select only one quality.

What the Updated Evidence Shows

A 2022 meta-analysis of 43 studies compared concurrent aerobic-plus-strength training with the same strength training performed alone. On average, concurrent training did not significantly compromise maximal strength or muscle hypertrophy. Explosive-strength gains showed a small attenuation, most clearly when aerobic and strength work occurred in the same session.[4]

This is a more useful model than the slogan that cardio either kills gains or never matters. Training volume, modality, session order, proximity, nutrition, sleep, tissue stress, and the athlete's primary goal can all change the outcome.[5] Interference is not an automatic reaction to elevated heart rate. It is a programming risk that rises when competing demands and unresolved fatigue accumulate.

INTERFERENCE BOUNDARY

Concurrent training is generally compatible with maximal-strength and hypertrophy development, but that does not make every schedule equally effective. Power-focused athletes, high-volume endurance athletes, and anyone stacking hard lower-body sessions should manage order, spacing, and recovery with greater care.[4,5]

Why Strength Helps Endurance

Endurance performance is not determined by maximal oxygen uptake alone. It also depends on how much energy an athlete spends at a given pace, how much force each stride or stroke can produce, and how well tissues tolerate repeated loading. Strength training can improve those factors without turning the weight room into an imitation of the endurance event.

A 2024 meta-analysis found that high-load and combined strength methods improved running economy in middle- and long-distance runners.[6] A 2025 umbrella review likewise reported improvements in running economy and endurance performance, while the included meta-analyses did not show significant changes in maximal oxygen uptake. The authors also rated confidence in much of the review literature as low or critically low.[7]

The boundary matters. Strength may allow an endurance athlete to use less relative force per stride and improve economy, but it does not replace the aerobic work that develops event-specific oxygen transport, pace tolerance, and duration. Strength supports endurance. It does not impersonate it.

Why Cardio Still Has Its Own Job

Cardiorespiratory fitness is strongly associated with cardiovascular health and all-cause mortality, even after accounting for traditional risk factors.[2] Association is not proof that a single test causes a specific outcome, but the evidence is more than sufficient to reject the idea that aerobic capacity is decorative.

For general health, the public-health target is not endless punishment. WHO guidance recommends 150–300 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic activity, 75–150 minutes of vigorous activity, or an equivalent combination each week, alongside regular muscle-strengthening activity.[1] That is a population guideline, not an individualized sports program, but it makes the scientific boundary plain: health preparation includes both.

Program the Relationship

The primary goal determines the hierarchy. If maximal strength or power is the priority, perform the highest-quality strength or power work before fatigue-producing conditioning, and separate demanding sessions when the schedule permits. If endurance performance is the priority, protect the key endurance sessions and use strength work to improve force, economy, and durability without stealing recovery from the event-specific training.

Manage local fatigue, not just the calendar. Hard intervals, hills, and long runs can be heavy lower-body stress even when no barbell is present. Pairing them carelessly with high-volume squats or deadlifts may reduce the quality of both. Easy walking, cycling, or other low-intensity work creates a different recovery demand and should not be treated as equivalent to a maximal conditioning test.

Progress the qualities separately. Strength should be judged by force, load, repetitions, technique, and the ability to repeat quality work. Conditioning should be judged by pace, power, duration, heart-rate response, recovery, or the specific demand being trained. A single exhausted feeling cannot verify that both systems improved.

The Academy Standard

The Squat, Press, Pull, Bench, and Deadlift families remain the center of the Academy strength system because they make force production measurable and progressively trainable. Conditioning is then added with a defined purpose: health, work capacity, recovery between efforts, or preparation for a sport or event.

Do not turn conditioning into a tax paid for eating, and do not turn the weight room into a breathless circuit merely to make it feel cardiovascular. Train force as force. Train aerobic capacity as aerobic capacity. When a session intentionally blends them, judge it by the blended goal—not by how completely it empties the athlete.

Conclusion

Strength and cardio are not enemies. They are distinct capacities drawing from one recoverable human system. Most people can improve both at the same time, and many athletes perform better when they do. Problems begin when volume, order, and recovery are ignored.

Strength remains the foundation of force. Cardio develops the machinery and tolerance to sustain work. Build each on purpose, protect the quality that matters most, and stop asking one adaptation to perform the other's job.

“Strength is the base. Conditioning extends what the base can do.”

References

1. Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *Br J Sports Med.* 2020;54(24):1451–1462. [doi:10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955)
2. Ross R, Blair SN, Arena R, et al. Importance of assessing cardiorespiratory fitness in clinical practice: a case for fitness as a clinical vital sign. *Circulation.* 2016;134(24):e653–e699. [doi:10.1161/CIR.0000000000000461](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000461)
3. Hickson RC. Interference of strength development by simultaneously training for strength and endurance. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol.* 1980;45(2–3):255–263. [doi:10.1007/BF00421333](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00421333)
4. Schumann M, Feuerbacher JF, Sünkeler M, et al. Compatibility of concurrent aerobic and strength training for skeletal muscle size and function: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med.* 2022;52(3):601–612. [doi:10.1007/s40279-021-01587-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-021-01587-7)
5. Fyfe JJ, Bishop DJ, Stepto NK. Interference between concurrent resistance and endurance exercise: molecular bases and the role of individual training variables. *Sports Med.* 2014;44(6):743–762. [doi:10.1007/s40279-014-0162-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-014-0162-1)
6. Llanos-Lagos C, Ramirez-Campillo R, Moran J, Sáez de Villarreal E. Effect of strength training programs in middle- and long-distance runners' economy at different running speeds: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Sports Med.* 2024;54(4):895–932. [doi:10.1007/s40279-023-01978-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-023-01978-y)
7. Ramos-Campo DJ, Andreu-Caravaca L, Clemente-Suárez VJ, Rubio-Arias JÁ. The effect of strength training on endurance performance determinants in middle- and long-distance endurance athletes: an umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analysis. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2025;39(4):492–506. [doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000005056](https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000005056)
8. Currier BS, D'Souza AC, Fiatarone Singh MA, et al. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Resistance training prescription for muscle function, hypertrophy, and physical performance in healthy adults: an overview of reviews. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2026;58(4):851–872. [doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000003897](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000003897)