

The Fallacy of Sport-Specific Training

Why Strength Builds Capacity and Skill Must Be Practiced in Context

“You get stronger in the gym. You get better at your sport by practicing it.”

Executive Thesis

The phrase “sport-specific training” is used so broadly that it can mean almost anything: playing the sport, lifting weights, sprinting with a sled, swinging a heavy implement, standing on an unstable surface, or copying a game movement with a cable. When every exercise is called specific, the term stops helping coaches make decisions.

A clearer model separates capacity from expression. Resistance training builds physical capacities such as maximal force, rate of force development, tissue tolerance, and power. Sport practice teaches the athlete to organize those capacities under the sport's exact perceptual, coordinative, tactical, and timing constraints. The two interact, but they are not interchangeable.

Skill Is Highly Specific

Motor skill improves through repeated attempts in which the athlete perceives relevant information, produces an action, receives feedback, and adjusts. Research on specificity of practice shows that the sensory information and conditions available during practice shape what is learned.[1] A hitter must read an actual pitch; a defender must respond to an opponent; a lifter must practice the lift or test in which performance will be judged.

This specificity is visible even inside strength training. In one study, repeatedly practicing a one-repetition-maximum test produced gains on that test comparable to much higher-volume training, while the higher-volume group gained more muscle.[2] The result is a warning against treating every rise in a test score as a purely general increase in muscular capacity. Testing is also a skill.

Velocity and joint angle matter as well. Resistance training performed with maximal intended velocity can produce velocity-specific adaptations even when the implement moves slowly under heavy load,[3] and isometric strength gains are greatest near the trained joint angles.[4] Strength is transferable, but it is never entirely free of the task through which it was acquired.

What General Strength Contributes

The fact that strength is task-specific does not make the weight room irrelevant. A larger force reserve can reduce the relative effort required for submaximal actions and can support higher outputs when the athlete has the skill and time to express it. Heavy resistance training can improve neural drive and rate of force development,[5] while appropriately designed strength programs have improved sprint, jump, change-of-direction, and strength outcomes in trained young soccer players.[6]

The responsible claim is probabilistic: becoming stronger increases an athlete's potential to produce force, and that potential often supports sport performance. It does not guarantee that a stronger squat will automatically appear as a faster first step, a more accurate throw, or a better tackle. Transfer depends on the athlete's limiting factor and on the similarity of force direction, contraction time, velocity, range of motion, coordination, and energy-system demands.

FACT-CHECK

"Strength is general" is useful coaching shorthand, but scientifically incomplete. Strength adaptations are partly specific to the trained movement, range, joint angle, contraction type, and velocity intention. The transferable element is increased force-producing capacity—not a universal motor program.

Why Loaded Imitation Is Not Automatically Specific

An exercise can resemble a sport movement and still train the wrong problem. Adding load may alter timing, release mechanics, posture, contact time, or the information that guides the action. A cable punch may look like punching while removing the opponent, the target, the footwork, and the rapid impact. Visual resemblance is not proof of transfer.

That does not mean every loaded sport-like drill corrupts skill. Some "bridge" exercises deliberately retain an important feature while overloading it. Sled-resisted sprinting, medicine-ball throws, assisted or resisted jumps, and weighted implements can be useful when the target and dose are clear.

The evidence illustrates both possibility and risk. In a randomized six-week weighted-baseball study, trained high-school pitchers increased throwing velocity, but 24% of the weighted-ball group sustained an injury and none occurred in the control group.[7] In resisted sprint research, individualized heavy sled loading produced small group improvements in sprint outcomes, with meaningful variation among athletes.[8] Neither result supports a blanket rule. Overload can transfer; it can also impose costs.

A Hierarchy for Physical Preparation

Build the base. First, build general capacity with simple, measurable training. Squat, press, pull, bench, and deadlift families can raise force capacity efficiently when they are appropriate for the athlete. Conditioning, mobility, and tissue preparation should be added according to the demands of the sport and the athlete's current limitations.

Train the output. Second, convert capacity when the sport requires it. Jumps, throws, sprints, and ballistic resistance work can train rapid force expression. The exercise should have a defensible relationship to the desired output without needing to be a visual copy of competition.

Practice the skill. Third, practice the sport in the environment where perception and action are coupled. Technical and tactical decisions are learned through representative repetition, feedback, and competition-like constraints.

Earn specificity. Finally, add a bridge exercise only when it has a job. The coach should be able to identify the target quality, explain why the drill overloads it, measure whether the intended output changes, and control the fatigue or injury cost. If those questions have no clear answer, the drill is theater.

The Gym's Proper Role

The weight room should make the athlete more capable and more available to practice. It should not compete with the sport for identity. A strong program is often less theatrical than a highlight reel: a small number of lifts, clear loading, repeatable technique, adequate recovery, and outcome tracking.

This division of labor protects both sides. The gym can pursue force and power without pretending to reproduce an open, chaotic sport. Sport practice can pursue perception, timing, tactics, and technique without being overloaded simply to look difficult. The coach then evaluates the bridge between them instead of assuming it.

Conclusion

The fallacy is not that special exercises can never transfer. It is that resemblance alone makes an exercise specific, or that a physical capacity automatically becomes competitive skill. Strength prepares the system. Practice organizes that strength into the exact action the sport rewards.

“Strength supports skill. It does not replace practice.”

References

1. Proteau L, Marteniuk RG, Lévesque L. A sensorimotor basis for motor learning: evidence indicating specificity of practice. *Q J Exp Psychol A*. 1992;44(3):557–575. [doi:10.1080/14640749208401298](https://doi.org/10.1080/14640749208401298)
2. Mattocks KT, Buckner SL, Jessee MB, Dankel SJ, Mouser JG, Loenneke JP. Practicing the test produces strength equivalent to higher volume training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2017;49(9):1945–1954. [doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000001300](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000001300)
3. Behm DG, Sale DG. Intended rather than actual movement velocity determines velocity-specific training response. *J Appl Physiol*. 1993;74(1):359–368. [doi:10.1152/jappl.1993.74.1.359](https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1993.74.1.359)
4. Noorköiv M, Nosaka K, Blazevich AJ. Neuromuscular adaptations associated with knee joint angle-specific force change. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2014;46(8):1525–1537. [doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000000269](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000269)
5. Aagaard P, Simonsen EB, Andersen JL, Magnusson P, Dyhre-Poulsen P. Increased rate of force development and neural drive of human skeletal muscle following resistance training. *J Appl Physiol*. 2002;93(4):1318–1326. [doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00283.2002](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00283.2002)
6. Keiner M, Kadlubowski B, Sander A, Hartmann H, Wirth K. Effects of 10 months of speed, functional, and traditional strength training on strength, linear sprint, change of direction, and jump performance in trained adolescent soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2022;36(8):2236–2246. [doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000003807](https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000003807)
7. Reinold MM, Macrina LC, Fleisig GS, et al. Effect of a 6-week weighted baseball throwing program on pitch velocity, pitching arm biomechanics, passive range of motion, and injury rates. *Sports Health*. 2018;10(4):327–333. [doi:10.1177/1941738118779909](https://doi.org/10.1177/1941738118779909)
8. Cross MR, Lahti J, Brown SR, et al. Training at maximal power in resisted sprinting: optimal load determination methodology and pilot results in team sport athletes. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(4):e0195477. [doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0195477](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195477)
9. Currier BS, et al. Resistance Training Prescription for Muscle Function, Hypertrophy, and Physical Performance in Healthy Adults: An Overview of Reviews. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2026;58(4):851–872. [doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000003897](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000003897)